Michael Bologna

665 Meadow Ln Rochester PA, 15074

State College, PA 16801

October 16, 2015

Linda M. Hanagan, PhD, P.E. The Pennsylvania State University

Dear Dr. Hanagan,

The attached technical reports covers the assigned topics for Structural Notebook Submission B along with the previously submitted gravity and lateral loads analysis as part of Submission A.

Submission B is an analysis of the gravity loads for a typical bay in the existing structural system along with three alternative structural systems. This submission will help me prepare for my eventual redesign by comparing the three alternative systems by the weight, thickness, cost, advantages, and disadvantages.

In addition, I revised selected pages from Submission A for corrected loads for my new Submission. These revised pages includes the roof detail, wood level detail, and concrete level detail.

I appreciate your effort in reviewing my submission and I look forward to receiving feedback from you.

Sincerely,

Michael Bologna





Jackson Crossing | Located in Alexandria, VA

Technical Report 3

Michael Bologna

Structural Option Advisor: Dr. Linda Hanagan October 16, 2015

Abstract3	
Executive Summary4	
Location Plan5	
Site Plan5	
List of Documents Used in Report6	
Gravity Loads	
Typical Parapet Detail7	
Typical Wood Level Detail9	
Typical Concrete Level Detail10)
Typical Exterior Wall Detail (Brick)11	
Typical Exterior Wall Detail (Cementitious Panel)12)
Design Live Loads13	;
Non-Typical Loads14	ŀ
Snow Load and Drift)
Lateral Loads	
Wind Loads16	;
Seismic Loads19)
Typical Bay Location21	
Existing System Gravity Check 22)
Non-Composite Steel Option	;
Flat Plate Two Way Slab Option)
Precast Plank Option	,
Systems Comparison	
Overall Comparison)
Structural Thickness)
Structural Weight41	
Assemblies Cost42)

Table of Contents

Abstract

Jackson Crossing - Alexandria, VA

Michael Bologna Structural Option



Building Statistics

Building Height: 54' 7 1/4" Number of Floors: 5 Gross Square Foot: 107,740 sq. ft. Type of Building: Multi-Family Residential Total Project Cost: \$16 Million Construction Dates: 4/4/2014-12/17/2015

Project Team

Owner: AHC, Inc. Construction Management: Harkins Builders, Inc. Architect: Bonstra | Haresign Architects, LLP Civil Engineer: VIKA, Virginia, LLC Structural Engineer: Rathgeber Goss Associates MEP Engineer: Metropolitan Engineering, Inc. Landscape Architect: Landscape Architectural Bureau Specifications Cons.: Bethel Specifications Consulting

Mechanical

-All aparment units have operable windows -Typical floor houses a mounted vertical heat pump (DX Split System) and is provided with vibration isolation

- -Roof houses condensing units
- -Upper garage exhauts 12,000 CFM of air and supplies 17,250 CFM of air
- -Lower Garage exhauts 5250 CFM of air



Electrical

-Dominion Virgina Power Service supplies power into one pad mounted transformer -2 1600A, 208/120V Feeders run from the transformer

-All units are individually metered

Structural System

Gravity System

- -18" deep wood trusses spaced at 24" o.c. -Wood bearing walls
- -12" Reinforced two-way concrete slab
- -24"x16" Concrete columns typical

Lateral System

- -Ordinary Reinforced Concrete Shear Walls
- -Intermediate Reinforced Masonry Shear Walls
- -Light Framed Walls Sheated with Wood Panels

Thesis Advisor: Linda M. Hanagan, PhD, P.E.

Website: http://www.engr.psu.edu/ae/thesis/portfolios/2016/mab6150/index.htm

Executive Summary

Jackson Crossing is a development in Alexandria, Virginia by AHC, Inc. Offering one, two, and three-bedroom apartments, it is targeted at low-income residents with families. The structure is five floors and 107,740 square feet. Included in the building is an underground parking garage. The project will be completed by December 2015 and will come to a total project cost of sixteen million dollars.

The gravity system consists of four floors of wood floors with wood trusses and bearing walls. The wood members sit on two floors of concrete, one of which is below grade. The slab on the second floor is a reinforced two-way slab while the ground floor is a reinforced one-way slab with concrete beams.

The lateral system for the top four floors includes a masonry shear wall, and wood sheathed shear wall. The wood sheathed shear wall is anchored into the second floor slab while the masonry shear wall is integrated into concrete shear walls that extend down into the foundation.

Location Plan



Figure 1 (Courtesy of Google Maps)







List of Documents Used in Report

AISC, Steel Construction Manual, Fourteenth Edition

Breyer, Donald, Kelly Cobeen, Kenneth Fridley, and David Pollock, *Design of Wood Structures ASD/LRFD*, 7th Edition

Usg.com, DUROCK Cement Board

Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures (ASCE 7-10)

Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures (ASCE 7-05)

RS Means Assemblies Cost Data, 2014



VIL WEIGHT OF DETAILS - SINGLE PLY ROOF MEMBRANE > 1 psf - 2"RIGID INSULATION => 1"2psf, 2" = 3psf - 23/32" wood subfloor => 3psf 4/3". 23/32"= 2.875psf (wood sheathing) - 912" BATT INSULATION => V2psf 9.5"=4.75psf (loose insulation) - 5 SQUARES - 5 SQUARES - 5 SQUARES - FILLER 6 -- 50 SHEETS --6 -- 100 SHEETS --7 -- 200 SHEETS --7 -- 200 SHEETS --- 5/8"6WB=> 212psf = Truss self weight => 5.5 plf/2++= 2.75psf spacing 3-0235 3-0236 3-0237 3-0137 TOTAL= 16,875 psf~17psf WEIGHTS FROM TABLE 17-13 OF STEEL MANUAL COMET













$$V = 0.13 \text{ ps} + 14 = 0.13.25 + 14 = 17.25 \text{ pcf} < 30 \text{ pc} \text{ fr}
$$V = 0.13 \text{ ps} \sqrt{5} \text{ fr} \text{ for where reft > hd = 2.81
34 1.51 + 1.125 \text{ for where reft > hd = 2.81
34 1.51 + 1.125 \text{ for where reft > hd = 2.81
34 1.51 + 1.125 \text{ for where reft > hd = 2.81
34 1.51 + 1.125 \text{ for where reft > hd = 2.81
34 1.51 + 1.125 \text{ for where reft > hd = 2.81
34 1.51 + 1.125 \text{ for where reft > hd = 2.81
34 1.51 + 1.125 \text{ for where reft > hd = 2.81
34 1.51 + 1.125 \text{ for where reft > hd = 2.81
34 1.51 + 1.125 \text{ for where reft > hd = 2.81
34 1.51 + 1.125 \text{ for where reft > hd = 2.81
34 1.51 + 1.125 \text{ for where reft > hd = 2.81
34 1.51 + 1.125 \text{ for where reft > hd = 2.81
34 1.51 + 1.125 \text{ for where reft > hd = 2.81
34 1.51 + 1.125 \text{ for where reft > hd = 2.81
34 1.51 + 1.125 \text{ for where reft > hd = 2.81
34 1.51 + 1.125 \text{ for where reft > hd = 2.81
34 1.51 + 1.125 \text{ for where reft > hd = 2.81
34 1.51 + 1.125 \text{ for where reft > hd = 2.81
34 1.51 + 1.125 \text{ for where reft > hd = 2.81
34 1.51 + 1.125 \text{ for where reft > hd = 2.81
34 1.51 + 1.125 \text{ for where reft > hd = 2.81
34 1.51 + 1.125 \text{ for where reft > hd = 2.81
34 1.51 + 1.125 \text{ for where reft > hd = 2.81
34 1.51 + 1.125 \text{ for where reft > hd = 2.81
34 1.51 + 1.125 \text{ for where reft > hd = 2.81
34 1.51 + 1.125 \text{ for where reft > hd = 2.81
34 1.51 + 1.125 \text{ for where reft > hd = 2.81
34 1.51 + 1.125 \text{ for where reft > hd = 2.81
34 1.51 + 1.125 \text{ for where reft > hd = 2.81
34 1.51 + 1.125 \text{ for where reft > hd = 1.26 \text{ for where reft > hd$$$$

WIND LOADS VARIABLES FROM GENERAL NOTES BASIC WIND SPEED: 90 MPH Here and the sources of the sources of sources of sources of the s IMPORTANCE FACTOR: 1.0 EXPOSURE CATEGORY: B BUILDING HEIGHT : 54' 714" 3-0235 - 50 SHEETS -3-0236 - 100 SHEETS -3-0237 - 200 SHEETS -3-0137 - 200 SHEETS -XI WILL USE THE ANALYTICAL PROCEDURE EVEN THOUGH THE SIMPLIFIED PROCUDERE, 6.4.2, MAY BE USED. AT WILL USE ASCE-7-05 AS GENERAL NOTES INDICATES 6.5.1 Scope 1. REGULAR SMAPED V 6.5.3 COMET 1. BASIC WIND SPEED : 90MPH 2. IMPORTANCE FACTOR I: 1.0 3. WIND DIRECTIONS CONSIDERED: \bigcirc (2) 1 SURFACE ROUGHNESS CATEGORY: B EXPOSURE CATEGORY:B 0

9-0235 - 50 SHEETS - 5 SOUARES 9-0236 - 100 SHEETS - 5 SOUARES 9-0237 - 200 SHEETS - 5 SOUARES 9-0137 - 200 SHEETS - FILLER	TABLE 6-3 2 nd FLOOR: 14.5ft 0.57 3 ^{PD} FLOOR: 24.17ft 0.62 4 TH FLOOR: 33.83ft 0.72 5 TH FLOOR: 43.5ft 0.78 ROOF: 54.6ft 0.83						
	 CASE 2; EXPOSURE B; I WILL NOT DEGIGN USING FIG 6-10 4. 6.5.7.1: No APPLICABLE HILL OR ESCARPMENT KIZT= 1. 5. ASSUME LOW-RISE BUILDING IS RIGID 						
COMET	6. BUILDING IS ENCLOSED						
0	8. FIG 6-6 # NEGLECTING FIG 6-10 FOR LOW-RISE WINDWARD: Cp=0.8 LEEWARD: FOR DIRECTION (1) 4/8= 99'71/2"/256'115/8"=0.39						
	=> Cp = -0.5						
	D±/0= 1/0.34=2.58						
	$=> C_{p} = -0.3$ SIDE WALLS: $C_{p} = -0.7$ ROOF: FOR DIRECTION (1) $h/L' = 54.6'/99.7'2'' = 0.55$ AKEA= 2100059Ft FOR $0-h => C_{p} = -0.9$ \Rightarrow USE REDUCTION (2) $h/L = 54.6'/256' 11^{5/8''} = 0.21$ $oF 0.8 WHEN = (2) h/L = 54.6'/256' 11^{5/8''} = 0.21$ APPLICABLE $0-h => C_{p} = -0.5$ $h-2h => C_{p} = -0.5$ $2h => C_{p} = -0.3$						
	9 gz=0.00256KzKzTKdV2I						
	Ka= 0.85						
0	962 2 ND FLOOR: 10.0 3 RD FLOOR: 11.0 4 TH FLOOR: 12.7 5 TH FLOOR: 13.8 ROOF: 14.7						









Figure 3- Area in red highlights location of typical bay

Typical Bay Location

Figure 3 shades in red the location of the typical bay I will use to analyze the existing structural system and the three alternative systems.



$$S_{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2$$

USING ASD -FOR SAWN LUMBER FC = COX CM × Ct × CP × Ct × CP × Fe -STUD INFORMATION -Height = 1.7H -(1) 2x 60 16° oc -197 MAXIMUM MAISTURE CONTENT -SPF7HF No. 1/No.2 -FC (PARA) = 1,150 ps; -FOR D+L => Cp = 1.0 -MAX M.C = 197 => CM = 1 -Assuming No ELEVATED TEMPERATURES => Ct = 1 -Assume Ca = 1 -SLENDERNESS ASSUMING SHEATHING BRACES WEAK AXIS: $K_{12} = 0$ FC = 1,150 × 1×1×1×1×1×1×1 = 1,265 psi FC = 0.822.0.51E6 = 936 psi FC = /FC = 0.74		
FOR SAWN LUMBER F'C= Co× C _M × C ₄ × C ₇ × C ₂ × C _p ×Fc STUD INFORMATION - HEIGHT = 1,7H - (1) Zx 6 (2) 6'oc - 1976 MAXIMUM MAISTURE CONTENT - Spi7HF No.1/No.2 - FC ARAY = 1,100 ps; - Fimin = 0,51 E6 ps; -FOR D+L = 5 C ₀ = 1.0 - MAX M.C = 1976 = 5 C _M = 1 - Assuming No ELEVATED TEMPERATURES \$ C ₄ = 1 - Assuming No ELEVATED TEMPERATURES \$ C ₄ = 1 - Assume C ₁ = 1.1 - Aosume C ₁ = 1. - SLENDERNESS ASSUMING SHEATHING BRACES WEAK AXIS: K12 = 0 FC# = 1,150 × 1×1×1×1×1×1 = 1,265 ps; Fc= 0.522.0.51 E6 = 936 ps; Fc=/Fc# = 0.74		USING ASD
$\frac{-5 \text{TUO} INFORMATION}{-4 \text{EIGHT} = 1.7 \text{ ft}} = (1) 2x 6 @ 16° oc = -1976 MATION MAINSTURE CONTENT = 5.9 \text{F/HF} No.1/No.2 = -1976 MAXIMUM MAINSTURE CONTENT = 5.9 \text{F/HF} No.1/No.2 = -FC (PARA) = 1,150 \text{ ps};= E'min = 0.5 1E6 / \text{ps};= Finin = 0.5 1E6 / \text{ps};= For D+L = 5 C_D = 1.0= MAX M.C. = 1976 = 5 C_M = 1= Assuming No ELEVATED TEMPERATURES = C_t = 1= Assuming No ELEVATED TEMPERATURES = C_t = 1= For 2×6 = 5 C_F = 1.1= Assume C_i = 1= SLENDERNESS Assuming & SHEATHWAG BRAKESNEAK AXIS:\frac{V_{1L}}{d_i} = \frac{9.751 \cdot 12}{5.5 \text{ m}} = 21,12450 \text{ Macontrols}\frac{V_{12}}{d_i} = 0Fc = 1,150 × 1×1×1×1×1×1×1 = 1,265 psiFc = 0.8222 \cdot 0.51E6 = 9.36 psiFc = 0.8222 \cdot 0.51E6 = 9.36 psiFc = 7.74$	0	-FOR JAWN LUMBER F'C= Cp× Cm × Ct× CF × Cz × Cp× Fc
• MAX M.L = $ 9\% \Rightarrow C_{M} = 1$ - Assuming no ELEVATED TEMPERATURES $\Rightarrow C_{4} = 1$ - For 2×6 =, $C_{F} = 1.1$ - Assume $C_{2} = 1$ • SLENDERNESS Assuming sheathing BRAGES weak Axis: $\frac{K_{12}}{d_{1}} = \frac{9.751}{5.5m} = 21,12 < 50 < \text{ k controls}$ $\frac{K_{12}}{d_{2}} = 0$ Fc = 1,150 × 1×1×1×1×1.1×1 = 1,265 psi Fc = 0.522 · 0.51 = 6 = 936 psi Fc = 1,76 = 0.74 Fc = 1,76 = 0.74	0 - 100 SHEETS - 5 SQUARES - 100 SHEETS - 5 SQUARES 7 - 200 SHEETS - FILLER 7 - 200 SHEETS - FILLER	- STUD INFORMATION - HEIGHT = 9.7ft - (1) 2x 6@16"oc - 1970 MAXIMUM MOISTURE CONTENT - SPF/HF No. 1/No. 2 - F2(PARA)=1,150psi - E'min= 0.51E6 psi - FOR D+L => Cp= 1.0
HOS - Assuming no ELEVATED TEMPERATURES ⇒ $C_{\pm} = 1$ - FOR 2×6 =>, $C_{\mp} = 1.1$ - Assume $C_{\pm} = 1$. - Assume $C_{\pm} = 1$. - SLENDERNESS Assuming & sheathing BRAGES WEAK AXIS: $K_{\pm} = \frac{9.7f_{\pm}^{+}12}{5.5in} = 21,12<50 \\ \# controls$ $K_{\pm} = 0$ $F_{2} = 0$ $F_{2} = 1,150 \\ \times 1 \\ \times 1$	3-0236 3-0236 3-0237 3-0137	- MAX M.C. = 1970 => CM=1
- Assume $C_{i}=1$ - SLENDERNESS Assuming sheathing bracks w = Ak Axis: $\frac{K_{12}}{d_i} = \frac{9.7f_1!2}{5.5m} = 21,12450 \ \text{Freense}$ $\frac{K_{12}}{d_2} = 0$ $F_{c} = 1,150 \ \text{sl} \times 1 \times 1 \times 1 \times 1 = 1,265 \text{ psi}$ $F_{c} = 0.8222 \ 0.51 = 66 = 9.36 \text{ psi}$ $F_{c} = /F_{c} = 0.74$	COMET	- ASSUMING NO ELEVATED TEMPERATURES \$ C+=1 - FOR Z×6 => C+=1.1
• SLENDERNESS ABSUMING SHEATHING BRACES WEAK AXIS: $\frac{K_{11}}{d_{1}} = \frac{9.7ft}{5.5m} = 21,12450 \times \text{#controls}$ $\frac{K_{12}}{d_{2}} = 0$ $F_{2} = 1,150 \times 1.4] \times 1.11 \times 1 = 1,265 \text{ psi}$ $F_{2} = 0.8222 \cdot 0.51E6 = 936 \text{ psi}$ $F_{2} = /F_{2} \times = 0.74$		- ASSUME Ci=1
$F_{c} = 1,150 \times 1 \times 1 \times 1 \times 1 \times 1 \times 1 = 1,265 \text{ psi}$ $F_{c} = 0.822 \cdot 0.51 \text{ F6} = 936 \text{ psi}$ $F_{c} = 1,150 \times 1 \times 1 \times 1 \times 1 \times 1 = 1,265 \text{ psi}$ $F_{c} = 0.822 \cdot 0.51 \text{ F6} = 936 \text{ psi}$ $F_{c} = 1,150 \times 1 \times 1 \times 1 \times 1 \times 1 = 1,265 \text{ psi}$ $F_{c} = 0.822 \cdot 0.51 \text{ F6} = 936 \text{ psi}$	0	-SLENDERNESS ASSUMING SHEATHING BRACES WEAK AXIS: $\frac{K_{11}}{d_{1}} = \frac{9.7ft \cdot 12}{5.5m} = 21,12450 \checkmark \text{Prontrows}$ $\frac{K_{12}}{d_{2}} = 0$
$F_{cE} = 0.822 \cdot 0.51E6 = 936 \text{ psi}$ $F_{cE}/F_{c} = 0.74$		$F_c = 1,150 \times 1 \times 1 \times 1 \times 1 \times 1 \times 1 = 1,265 \text{ psi}$
Fre/Fr = 0.74		$F_{CE} = 0.822 \cdot 0.51 E_{6} = 936 psi$ (21.2) ²
		Fre/Fr = 0.74
지수는 것이 같은 것이 같이 같은 것이 같이	6	

$$C_{P} = \lfloor \pm 0.74 \\ 2 \cdot 0.8 \\ C_{P} = 0.58 \\ F_{c}^{2} = 1,265 psi \cdot 0.58 = 733.5 psi \\ 732,5psi \cdot 1.5in \cdot 5.5in^{2} 6, 051 lbs = 4,538 plf. \\ (16/2) \\ CAPACITY OF (1) 2 \times 6216' oc SPF No 1/No Z \cdot \\ => 4,538 plf \\ LOAD CHECK \\ EXTERIOR WALL: \\ D + L = 4,477 plf < 4,538 plf \\ .: Good \\ INTERIOR WALL: \\ D + L = 3,707 plf < 4,538 plf \\ .: Good \\ NOTERIOR WALL: \\ D + L = 3,707 plf < 4,538 plf \\ .: Good \\ NOTERIOR WALL: \\ D + L = 3,707 plf < 4,538 plf \\ .: Good \\ NOTERIOR WALL: \\ D + L = 3,707 plf < 4,538 plf \\ .: Good \\ NOTERIOR WALL: \\ D + L = 3,707 plf < 4,538 plf \\ .: Good \\ NOTERIOR WALL: \\ D + L = 3,707 plf < 4,538 plf \\ .: Good \\ NOTERIOR WALL: \\ D + L = 3,707 plf < 4,538 plf \\ .: Good \\ NOTERIOR WALL: \\ D + L = 3,707 plf < 4,538 plf \\ .: Good \\ NOTERIOR WALL: \\ D + L = 3,707 plf < 4,538 plf \\ .: Good \\ NOTERIOR WALL: \\ D + L = 3,707 plf < 4,1538 plf \\ .: Good \\ .: Good \\ NOTERIOR WALL: \\ D + L = 3,707 plf < 4,1538 plf \\ .: Good \\ .: Good$$



$$\begin{array}{c} \underbrace{\mathsf{LRED}}_{\forall 0 \text{ is } 1} & \underbrace{\forall 0 \text{ is } 1}_{\forall 1 \text{ is } 1} & \underbrace{\forall 0 \text{ is } 24/6^{\circ}}_{\forall 0 \text{ is } 1} & \underbrace{\mathsf{L}}_{\forall 24/6^{\circ}} & \underbrace{\mathsf{L}}_{\forall 0 \text{ is } 24/6^{\circ}} & \underbrace{\mathsf{L}}_{d \text{ is } 24$$

$$I_{M} = \frac{1}{P_{L}} \frac{1}{P_{$$







$$Prove the second seco$$

$$MODELE STRIP (HALF OF STRIP)$$

$$MIDDLE STRIP (HALF OF STRIP)$$





+	10000					
	- <u>M</u> (-	Mm)= (166	5-124.9)/2=	5TRIP) = 29.14 K-H		
	$-A_{sreq} = \frac{29.14 \text{ k-ft} \cdot 12^{17}}{0.9 \times 60 \times 0.95 \times 7.9 \text{ in}} = 0.863 \text{ in}^2$ -A_{smin} = 0.63 \text{ in}^2					
	$a = 0 \cdot \frac{863 \ln 2 \times 60}{0.85 \times 4 \times (3'3'')} = 0 \cdot 39 \ln 0 \cdot 85 \times 4 \times (3'3'')$ $c = a/0.85 = 0.96 \ln < 2.96 \ln =>0 = 0.9$					
	-7	jd= Asreq= <u>2</u>	7.9 in - 0.397 9.14 K-ft 12 9.9×60×7.70	2=7.70in <u>4</u> =0.84in ²		
	POSITIVE	MOMENT	Asreg/Asmin	(No.) BAR SIZE		
-	COLUMI	N STRIP				
		A2-82	1.97	(5)#6		
		B1-B2	2.14	(5)#6		
	MIDDLE	STRIP				
		A2-82	1,40	(4)#6		
		81-82	0.71	(4)#4		
	NEGATIN	IE R MOMENT				
	COLUM	N STRIP				
		A2-B2	2.35	(3)#9		
		BI- BZ	3.64	(4)#9		
	MIDDL	5 STRIP				
		A2-132	1,40	(4)#6		
		B1-B2	0.84	(5)#4		





System Comparison

	Systems					
Parameters	Existing Wood Truss Joists	Non-Composite Joists and Girder	Flat Plate Two- Way Slab	Precast Planks		
Thickness (in)	19	17	9	8		
Weight (psf)	13	40	113	61		
Fire Rating (Hr)	1	2	3+	2		
Material Cost (\$/sq.ft.)	6.24	8.80	5.95	7.80		
Installation Cost (\$/sq.ft.)	4.01	3.19	9.20	2.57		
Total Cost (\$/sq.ft.)	10.25	11.99	15.15	10.37		
Advantages	-Lowest Cost -Lightest	-Light weight system	-Small slab thickness	-Thinnest thickness		
		-Relatively Low	-Durable	-Low Cost		
	Mechanical	Cost		-Efficient with		
	-Stable during construction			prestressed strands		
				-Easy construction		
Disadvantages	-Largest Structural depth	-Vibrations could cause	-Heaviest -Most	-Heavy Structure		
		uncomfortablility	Expensive	-Transportation can cause trouble as planks are fragile and large		
Potential for In-depth Investigation		Yes	No	Yes		





ASSEMBLY COSTS (RSMEANS 2014) 5 - 5 SQUARES - 5 SQUARES - 5 SQUARES - 5 SQUARES - FILLER - NON COMPOSITE STEEL OPTION 20 x25 BAY, TOTAL LOAD = 90 PSF , 4 JOISTS COST PER SQ FT. 50 SHEETS -100 SHEETS -200 SHEETS -200 SHEETS -MAT = 8.80 INST. = 3.19 TOTAL= 11.99 1111 3-0235 3-0236 3-0237 3-0137 - FLAT PLATE TWO-WAY SLAB 20x25 BAY, 75 PSF SUPERIMP. LOAD, 20 MIN COLUMN SIZE 9 in SLAB, 1.88 P3F TOTAL LOAD COMET COST PER SQ FT. MAT= 5.95 IN ST. = 9.20 TOTAL= 15,15 - PRECAST PLANKS 25 FT SPAN, 75 PSF SUPER IMPOSED LOAD, Tin DEPTH 55 PSF DL, 130 PSF TOTAL LOAD. COST PER SQ FT. MAT = 7.80 INST. = 2,57 TOTAL=10.37 - EXISTING SYSTEM 16" OPEN WEB JOISTS, 16" O.C. & COST ESTIMATE COULD BE INFLATED DUE TO ACTUAL SPALING BEING 24"O.L NOT 16"O.C. COST PER SAFT. MATE 6.24 INST. 4.01 TOTAL= 10,25 -